WO

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

	DIS	STRICT OF ARIZONA
,	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	
	V.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	Carlos Marquez-Ortega	Case Number: <u>11-05734M-001</u>
and was rej	nce with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. opresented by counsel. I conclude by a product pending trial in this case.	§ 3142(f), a detention hearing was held on April 13, 2011. Defendant was present reponderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the detention
I find by a p	preponderance of the evidence that:	FINDINGS OF FACT
×	•	he United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.
$\boxtimes$		charged offense, was in the United States illegally.
$\boxtimes$	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.	
	The defendant has no significant	contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.	
$\boxtimes$	The defendant has a prior crimina	al history.
	The defendant lives/works in Mex	rico.
	The defendant is an amnesty apsubstantial family ties to Mexico.	oplicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has
	There is a record of prior failure to	o appear in court as ordered.
	The defendant attempted to evad	e law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.
	The defendant is facing a maximu	um of years imprisonment.
The at the time	e Court incorporates by reference the moof the hearing in this matter, except as	naterial findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court noted in the record.
	-	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
1.	There is a serious risk that the de	fendant will flee.
2.	No condition or combination of co	nditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.
	DIREC	CTIONS REGARDING DETENTION
a correction appeal. The of the Unite	ns facility separate, to the extent practica e defendant shall be afforded a reasona ed States or on request of an attorney fo	y of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in able, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending able opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court r the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the roose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.
	APPE	ALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE
IT I deliver a co Court.	S ORDERED that should an appeal of ppy of the motion for review/reconsidera	this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to tion to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District
		se to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial fore the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and

DATE: <u>April 13, 2011</u>

investigate the potential third party custodian.

JAY R. IRWIN United States Magistrate Judge